

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,773	02/13/2001	Renee M. Kovales	RSW920000128US1	8167
7590 10/25/2004		EXAMINER		
Jeanine S. Ray-Yarletts			WEAVER, SCOTT LOUIS	
IBM Corporation T8/503 PO Box 12195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			2645	10
		DATE MAILED: 10/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
•		09/782,	773	KOVALES ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit				
		Scott L.		2645				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on th	ne cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN risions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com reperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for repl reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. 30) days, a reply within the st tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tir autory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				·				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed.on <i>30 June 2003</i> .						
· · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠	- ',							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	D)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have be documents have be of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attenh	#/a\							
	τ(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (i	2TO 048)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/782,773

Art Unit: 2645

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. THE RCE filed 7/3/2003 has been considered as improper since the prosecution of the application had not been closed at that time. See 37 CFR 1.114(a). An applicant cannot request continued examination of an application until after prosecution in the application is closed. See 37 CFR 1.114(a).

Prosecution in an application is closed if the application is under appeal, or the last Office action is a final action (37 CFR 1.113), a notice of allowance (37 CFR 1.311), or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application (e.g., an Office action under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 Comm'r Dec. 11 (1935)).

In accordance with the Petition filed 7/31/2003 and Dismissal of 7/21/2004, the papers filed 6/30/2003 are being entered and considered, and the request for RCE is being replied to with an improper request for RCE Form PTO-2051.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24-25, 30-31, 38, 48, 64, 66, 70, 83, 88-91 filed 6/30/2003 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 48, 70, and 88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Goldberg et al. (#6,125,175).

The claims read on Goldberg as follows: Goldberg teaches method, program and computer program product for improving telephone conversations between at least a calling and called party with incorporation of selected background sound into the conversation via 3 way call conferencing of the sound into the call. (Figures 1-3, col.1,ln.21-29; col.1,ln.46-col.2,ln.5; col.2,ln.30-col.3,ln.15).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 24-25, 30-31, 38, 64, 66, 83, and 89-91 are allowed, the limitations previously indicated allowable having been appropriately incorporated into each of the now independent claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2645

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott L. Weaver whose telephone number is 703-308-6974. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday 8 AM to 6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on 703-305-4895. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SCOTT L. WEAVER
PRIMARY: EXAMINER

Art Unt 2645